IMMUNOGENICITY OF NIAB ANGARA VACCINE IN BROILERS
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ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of NIAB ANGARA vaccine under field conditions. For this purpose 2000 broiler chicks were vaccinated against Newcastle disease (ND) and Infectious Bursal disease (IBD). NIAB ANGARA vaccine was injected to 1000 broilers on 30th day of age. When the flock showed 5 to 10 mortalities per day, HPS was suspected in post mortem reports. Remaining 1000 broilers were kept as control. Seventy to eighty birds died in treated group within 2-3 days after vaccination then mortalities reduced to 1 and 2 birds per day. Flock became normal after 7th day of vaccination, whereas mortalities increased slowly and remained high in control group. Blood samples were collected from birds of treated and control groups on 37th and 44th day of age. Antibody titer against HPS vaccine was determined by Indirect Haemagglutination test. Results showed that NIAB ANGARA vaccine triggered the production of antibodies against HPS virus. The treated flock recovered from the disease within a week.
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INTRODUCTION

Hydropericardium syndrome (HPS) was first observed in 1987 at Angara goth, a broiler chicks raising area near Karachi, Pakistan so, the syndrome was named as Angara disease (Jaffery, 1988). Later, the disease was noticed at two other broiler farms situated near Jurah pull in Lahore, Punjab. A closely resembling syndrome has been reported in Canada (Mc-Cuaise et al., 1992), England (Jones, 1976), Germany (Bergmann et al., 1979), Iraq (Abdul Aziz and Al-Attar, 1991), North and South America (Cown, 1992), and Chile (Toro et al., 1999).

The disease has rapid onset without noticeable signs. However, some birds are seen as depressed and off-feed. The affected birds show difficulties in movement, loose greenish to yellowish droppings and chalky-pasted vents (Khwaja et al., 1988; Tariq, 1988). The course of disease is usually 10–15 days with 100% morbidity and 30–90% mortality (Tariq, 1988). The causative agent of the syndrome has been identified as a filterable virus, which belongs to Avi Adeno virus- 4 (Rabbani et al., 1998).

To overcome huge economic losses to poultry industry, different vaccines against HPS are in use giving variable results. However, outbreaks are common in vaccinated flocks. Lack of required quantity of virus and storage of vaccine under improper conditions seem to be the possible reasons of vaccine failure. It is the need of hour to produce more effective and safer vaccine.

The present study was designed to evaluate the efficacy of a water based, formalized NIAB ANGARA vaccine in broilers during a natural outbreak of HPS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental chicks

Two thousand broiler chicks (1-day old) kept at a broiler farm at Chak No. 37 near Satiana, Faisalabad, were reared under field conditions. These chicks were vaccinated according to a usual schedule in broilers i.e., Newcastle disease on day 7 and Infectious Bursal disease on day 14 (Anjum, 1997). As HPS was prevalent in the area, so at 26th day of age flock got infected and as was confirmed by post mortem reports. Birds were divided into two lots of 1000 birds each. One lot was vaccinated with NIAB ANGARA vaccine in double dose at 30th day of age and other was kept as control (Afzal and Ahmad, 2000).

Collection of serum samples

Fifty blood samples were collected randomly from each group in disposable syringes at day 37 and 44 of age. From each bird 1-3 ml blood was drawn in a syringe and held at 25°C for 4-6 hours. The serum was separated and collected in sterile screw capped Pyrex tubes. These tubes were labeled and stored at -20°C.

Parameters studied
Mortality in both groups was recorded (pre and post vaccine) due to natural infection of HPS virus. Serum antibody titer was determined against HPS vaccine by Indirect Haemagglutination Assay (IHA) (Rehman et al. 1989).

Data thus collected was statistically analyzed by applying unpaired t-test (Steel and Torrie, 1982).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NIAB ANGARA vaccine initiated the antibody production against the HPS virus during outbreak of the disease, as vaccination was done in treatment group on 30th day of age after natural infection of the virus. The flock was vaccinated against Newcastle disease & Infectious Bursal disease and no vaccine of HPS was done at proper time so the flock was susceptible to HPS. An outbreak of HPS was recorded in that area on adjacent farms. Postmortem examination revealed the accumulation of serous fluid in pericardial sac, oedema of abdominal cavity and enlarged flabby heart. These signs indicated outbreak of HPS (Rabbani et al., 1998).

Mortality started on 26th day of age, 5–10 birds died daily. In treated group mortality increased up to 20–30 birds daily for four days after vaccination, this high mortality rate is due to stress on birds during vaccination. Then it reduced gradually and flock became normal 4-5 days after vaccination (Table 1).

Table 1. Daily mortalities in treated and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (days)</th>
<th>Pre Vaccination Treatment</th>
<th>Pre Vaccination Control</th>
<th>Post Vaccination Treatment</th>
<th>Post Vaccination Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 NS</td>
<td>41 NS</td>
<td>103*</td>
<td>475*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| NS = Non Significant; * = Significant Difference (P<0.05)

Table 2: Antibody titer of broilers against HPS Virus after 7th & 14th day of vaccination in treated and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of birds (days)</th>
<th>Distribution of birds on the basis of IHA antibody titer (well no)</th>
<th>GMT (Log₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>11  14  14  11</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>16  18  16</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>25  25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>25  25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In control group, mortality increased gradually from 5–10 to 50–55 birds daily and this number increased continuously day by day up to 44th day of age (Table 1). Serum samples collected from treatment group at 37th day of age showed that Geometric Mean Titer (GMT) of antibody against HPS Virus was log₂ 5.5, which indicates that antibody production has started in vaccinated birds. Whereas serum samples collected at 44th day of age showed that GMT of antibody against HPS Virus was log₂ 7.0, which indicates that serum antibody titer is sufficient at 10 to 14 days post vaccination (Table 2). This sharp increase of titers in treated group was due to use of formalized vaccine which produce instant immunity but for short time as compare to oil based vaccine which produce immunity slowly but for long period of time (Arfan, 2002).
Serum samples from control group at 37th day of age showed that GMT of antibody against HPS virus was log₂ 1.5, which indicates that antibody titer was very low. Whereas serum samples collected at 44th day of age showed that GMT of antibody against HPS Virus was log₂ 2.5, which indicates that antibody titer in unvaccinated birds was non-protective even after 2 weeks. Moreover antibody titers on both sampling days in control group were incomparable with treated one (Table 2).

It may be concluded that NIAB ANGARA vaccine is effective against HPS of poultry, as it triggers antibody production in infected birds and reduces the loss significantly. This vaccine can be used in healthy as well as HPS infected flock at early stage of disease.
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